IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In repatent application of

Docket No. FIS9-2000-0138U\$1

R. Dean Adams

Serial No.: 09/625,996

Filed: July 26, 2000

Group Art Unit: No.2133

Examiner: Chaudry, Mujtaba M.

For: SYSTEM INITIALIZATION OF MICROCODE-BASED MEMORY BUILT-IN

SELF-TEST

Assistant Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW

Sir:

Please arrange time for interview for the above-identified matter, which has been finally rejected by the Office Action dated April 8, 2003. An interview agenda, draft of the proposed amendment and comparison chart of the present invention with the reference to Schwartz are included in advance for the Examiner's consideration in order to indicate the issues to be discussed.

Respectfully submitted,

Olga V. Merkoulova Registration No. 48,757

Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, P.C. 11491 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 340 Reston, VA 20190

Tel. (703) 787-9400 Fax. (703) 787-7557



WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

Providing Global Intellectual Property Stategies & Solutions

Michael E. Whitham Marshall M. Curtis Clyde R Christofferson

C. Lamont Whitham*
*ofcounsel

Registered Patent Agents: Ruth E. Tyler-Cross, Ph. D Olga V. Markulova Lara Clerks: Philana S. Handler K. James Sangton, Fh. D

June 2, 2003

To: Mr. Chaudry

Phone: 703-305-7755

FAX:703-746-8766 US PTO

From: Olga Merkoulova

Phone: 703-787-9400 FAX: 703-787-7557 RE: Serial No. 09/625,996

Dear Mr.Chaudry:

As we discussed over the phone this morning I enclose an interview agenda for the above-identified matter accompanied with a draft of the proposed amendment and comparison chart of the present invention and primary reference to Schwartz.

I really appreciate if you will fined possible to schedule an interview sometimes this week. Thank you for your time and cooperation.

Sincerely,

Olga Merkoulova

7 pages with cover

Interview Agenda for Application Serial No. 09/625,996

- 1. Discussion of proposed amendment. (A draft of the proposed amendment is attached)
- 2. Discussion of differences between the primary reference and present invention. (A comparison chart of the present invention and primary reference to Schwarz is attached).
- 3. Discussion of clarity of the claim language in a view the above discussions.